

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 SUSAN SCHOFIELD,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES,
15 et. al.,

16 Defendants.
17

Case No. CV 22-4332-JGB (AS)

ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS,

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

18
19 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 636, the Court has reviewed the
20 Complaint, all of the records herein, and the Report and
21 Recommendation of a United States Magistrate Judge. After having
22 made a de novo determination of the portions of the Report and
23 Recommendation to which Objections were directed,¹ the Court
24 concurs with and accepts the findings and conclusions of the


25
26 ¹ The Court construes Plaintiff's Motion to Reconsider the
27 Granting of Defendant Menke's Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. No. 60), and
28 Plaintiff's Objection to Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. No. 61), as
Plaintiff's objections to the Report and Recommendation issued on
November 14, 2022. See Dkt. No. 56.

1 Magistrate Judge. In sum, Plaintiff's objections do not cause the
2 Court to reconsider its decision to accept the Magistrate Judge's
3 conclusions and recommendations.
4

5 **IT IS ORDERED** that Defendant Menke's Request for Judicial
6 Notice (Dkt. No. 39-3) is GRANTED, and Defendant Menke's Motion to
7 Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint with prejudice with respect to
8 Defendant Menke is GRANTED.

9
10 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk serve copies of this
11 Order on Plaintiff at her current address of record.

12 DATED: December 1, 2022
13

14
15 
16 JESUS G. BERNAL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28